

Result of voting

Ballot Information:

Ballot reference:	154n536_NWIP Long Term Signature profiles for EDI Data and Electronic Documents
Ballot type:	NP
Ballot title:	New Work Item Proposal Long Term Signature profiles for EDI Data and Electronic Documents
Opening date:	2009-02-04
Closing date:	2009-05-04
Note:	Please note that 5 P-members of ISO/TC 154 need to indicate their commitment to participate actively in the development of the project.

Member responses:

Votes cast (15)	Australia (SA) Belgium (NBN) Bulgaria (BDS) China (SAC) Czech Republic (UNMZ) France (AFNOR) Germany (DIN) Italy (UNI) Japan (JISC) Korea, Republic of (KATS) Netherlands (NEN) Switzerland (SNV) United Kingdom (BSI) USA (ANSI) Viet Nam (STAMEQ)
------------------------	-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------

Comments submitted (0)

Votes not cast (3)	Algeria (IANOR) Russian Federation (GOST R) Serbia (ISS)
---------------------------	----------------------------------------------------------------

Questions:

Q.1	"We agree that a globally relevant International Standard on this subject is feasible and therefore agree to the addition of the proposed new work item to the program of work of the committee"
Q.2	"Action we agree on (when answered Yes to the first question)"
Q.3	"Standard(s), regulation(s), and other relevant documentation existing in our

	country, with any remarks concerning their application if necessary and consequences for global relevance, as well as copyright information on these documents, are attached"
Q.4	"Do you wish to add any additional comments?"
Q.5	"We are committed to participate actively in the development of the project, at least by commenting on working drafts"

Answers to Q.1: "We agree that a globally relevant International Standard on this subject is feasible and therefore agree to the addition of the proposed new work item to the program of work of the committee"

10 x	Yes	Bulgaria (BDS) China (SAC) Czech Republic (UNMZ) Germany (DIN) Japan (JISC) Korea, Republic of (KATS) Netherlands (NEN) Switzerland (SNV) USA (ANSI) Viet Nam (STAMEQ)
4 x	Abstention / No interest	Australia (SA) Belgium (NBN) France (AFNOR) Italy (UNI)
1 x	No	United Kingdom (BSI)

Answers to Q.2: "Action we agree on (when answered Yes to the first question)"

5 x	Advance for further development of a WD within a WG (stage 20)	Bulgaria (BDS) China (SAC) Germany (DIN) Korea, Republic of (KATS) USA (ANSI)
5 x	No answer (we did not answer Yes to the first question)	Australia (SA) Belgium (NBN) France (AFNOR) Italy (UNI) United Kingdom (BSI)
2 x	Accept the draft document as a WD for further development within a WG (stage 20)	Czech Republic (UNMZ) Viet Nam (STAMEQ)
2 x	Addition as a preliminary project (stage 0)	Netherlands (NEN) Switzerland (SNV)
1 x	Circulation of the draft document as	Japan (JISC)

	a Committee Draft (CD)
0 x	Circulation of the draft document as a DIS

Answers to Q.3: "Standard(s), regulation(s), and other relevant documentation existing in our country, with any remarks concerning their application if necessary and consequences for global relevance, as well as copyright information on these documents, are attached"

13 x	No	Australia (SA) Belgium (NBN) Bulgaria (BDS) China (SAC) France (AFNOR) Germany (DIN) Italy (UNI) Korea, Republic of (KATS) Netherlands (NEN) Switzerland (SNV) United Kingdom (BSI) USA (ANSI) Viet Nam (STAMEQ)
2 x	Yes (references provided below)	Czech Republic (UNMZ) Japan (JISC)

Answers to Q.4: "Do you wish to add any additional comments?"

13 x	No	Australia (SA) Belgium (NBN) Bulgaria (BDS) China (SAC) Czech Republic (UNMZ) France (AFNOR) Italy (UNI) Japan (JISC) Korea, Republic of (KATS) Netherlands (NEN) Switzerland (SNV) USA (ANSI) Viet Nam (STAMEQ)
2 x	Yes	Germany (DIN) United Kingdom (BSI)

Answers to Q.5: "We are committed to participate actively in the development of the project, at least by commenting on working drafts"

10 x	No	Australia (SA) Belgium (NBN) Bulgaria (BDS)
-------------	-----------	------------------------------------------------------------

		France (AFNOR) Italy (UNI) Korea, Republic of (KATS) Netherlands (NEN) Switzerland (SNV) United Kingdom (BSI) USA (ANSI)
5 x	Yes (and we nominate an expert below)	China (SAC) Czech Republic (UNMZ) Germany (DIN) Japan (JISC) Viet Nam (STAMEQ)

Comments from Voters		
Member:	Comment:	Date:
China (SAC)	Comment	2009-03-31 08:58:44
<p>This standard is being drafted in China, so we are interested in this international standard and would like to nominate some experts below</p> <p>Ying LIU,Zhihong TANG,Xu LIU,Yihong LONG</p>		
Czech Republic (UNMZ)	Comment	2009-04-30 08:40:02
<p>Government regulations</p> <p>This is under negotiation.</p>		
Germany (DIN)	Comment	2009-03-30 10:16:35
<p>Germany highly appreciates that there is a NWI proposal, which addresses long term aspects of electronic signatures. However it seems that the current scope of the proposed NWI only covers those approaches (CADES and XAdES), which are not optimal with respect to scalability -- each archived document requires independent archive time stamps -- and are not integrated with the archival architecture standardized in ISO 14721 "Space data and information transfer systems - Open archival information system - Reference model". Therefore it is proposed to enlarge the scope of the NWI to cover the alternative approaches as well, which are based on the Evidence Record Syntax normalized in RFC 4998 and may be integrated with archival systems based on ISO 14721.</p> <p>Ms Dr. Ulrike Korte Bundesamt für Sicherheit in der Informationstechnik (BSI) Postfach 20 03 63 53133 Bonn Tel.: 49 228 999582-5842 Email: ulrike.korte@bsi.bund.de</p>		
Japan (JISC)	Comment	2009-04-27

		05:50:42
<p>JIS X 5092 (CAAdES)</p> <p>JIS X 5093(XAdES)</p> <p>Mr. Kenji Urushima</p> <p>kenji.urushima@entrust.com</p> <p>office : 81 3 5211 8446</p>		
United Kingdom (BSI)	Comment	2009-04-30 10:38:21
<p>The UK believe that there is already work on-going in this area in other ISO committees and can see no justification in the proposal for the duplication of effort.</p>		
United Kingdom (BSI)	Comment File	2009-04-30 10:38:21
<p>CommentFiles/UnitedKingdom(BSI).doc</p>		
Viet Nam (STAMEQ)	Comment	2009-07-09 13:18:52
<p>to be announced later</p>		

Comments from Commenters		
Member:	Comment:	Date: